Original Article Veterinary Research Forum. 2023; 14 (5) 267 - 274 doi: 10.30466/vrf.2022.550618.3416 Veterinary Research Forum

Journal Homepage: vrf.iranjournals.ir

# Molecular serotyping of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) of animal origin in Iran reveals the presence of important non-0157 seropathotypes

Mahdi Askari Badouei<sup>1\*</sup>, Haniye Taban<sup>2</sup>, Ali Nemati<sup>1</sup>, Luis Fernando Dos Santos<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran; <sup>2</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biology, Damghan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Semnan, Iran; <sup>3</sup> Adolfo Lutz Institute, Center of Bacteriology, National Reference Laboratory for E. coli enteric infections and HUS, São Paulo, Brazil.

Article Info	Abstract
Article history:	The present study reported the first serotyping (O:H typing) data documented in Shiga toxin-producing <i>Escherichia coli</i> (STEC) strains of animal origin in Iran in isolates recovered
Received: 15 March 2022	between 2008 to 2016. A total number of 75 STEC strains previously isolated from fecal
Accepted: 29 August 2022	samples of cattle, sheep, goats, pigeons, humans, and deer were assessed by different
Available online: 15 May 2023	polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays detecting the major virulence genes of STEC and
	phylogroups. Then, the strains were tested for the 16 important O-groups by PCR. Finally,
Keywords:	twenty strains were selected for H-genotyping by PCR plus sequencing. The predominant serogroup was 0113 which was detected in nine isolates (five cattle, 55.50%; two goats,
Animals	22.20%; two red deer, 22.20%) followed by 026 (3/3, 100%) in cattle, 0111 (3/3, 100%) in
Iran	cattle, 05 (3/3, 100%) in sheep, 063 (1/1, 100%) in pigeon, 075 (2/2, 100%) in pigeons, and
Serotypes	0128 in goats (2/3, 66.60%) and pigeon (1/3, 33.30%). The most important recognized
STEC	serotypes were 0113:H21 in cattle (2/3) and goat (1/3), 0113:H4 in red deer (1/1), 0111:H8 in
Typing	calves (2/2), 026:H11 in calve (1/1), 0128:H2 in goats (2/3) and pigeon (1/3), and 05:H19 in
	sheep (3/3). One cattle strain carrying stx1, stx2, eae, and Ehly genes belonged to 026:H29
	serotype. Most strains with determined O-groups were from the bovine source that highlighted
	the importance of cattle as reservoirs of potentially pathogenic servoras. The present study
	future research and clinical diagnostics of STEC in Iran.
	© 2023 Urmia University. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains have been implicated as major human pathogens in gastrointestinal infections and foodborne outbreaks worldwide.<sup>1</sup> These infections range from mild diarrhea to serious diseases, such as hemorrhagic colitis (HC) or hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS).<sup>1,2</sup> Progressive renal failure, acute hemolytic anemia and thrombocytopenia are the main symptoms of HUS which are associated with acute kidney injury in humans, especially in infants and children.<sup>3</sup>

The importance of STEC strains has been well documented worldwide and also in developing countries such as Iran.<sup>4,5</sup> Transmission of these strains occurs through the consumption of undercooked ground beef, unpasteurized dairy products, contaminated drinking water and contact with infected animals.<sup>6</sup> In the previous reports in Iran and other countries cattle were

highlighted as the main reservoirs of HUS-associated *E. coli* (HUSEC).<sup>7-9</sup>

Among virulence factors of STEC, Vero toxins (VT), also called Shiga toxins (Stx), are the key virulence factors which are classified into two main types: stx1 and stx2, responsible for inhibiting protein synthesis in eukaryotic cells.<sup>4</sup> STECs producing only *stx*2 toxin are posing a high risk to the development of severe human infections including HUS.<sup>10,11</sup> Another virulence factor associated with HUS is the production of enterohemolysin encoded by *Ehly* (*ehxA*) which has a cytolytic effect.<sup>4</sup> Reports from previous studies have shown a significant number of *Ehly* positive isolates in STEC strains contributing to human HUS.12,13 Intimin is another virulence-associated factor encoded by the eae gene accountable for intimate attachment of STEC to intestinal epithelial cells.<sup>4</sup> The STEC strains which are positive for intimin are closely linked with cases of HC and HUS.14

\*Correspondence:

Mahdi Askari Badouei. DVM, PhD

Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran **F-mail**: askari m@um.ac.ir



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) which allows users to read, copy, distribute and make derivative works for non-commercial purposes from the material, as long as the author of the original work is cited properly.

The STEC strains causing human infections belong to a large number of O:H serotypes which have been classified into five seropathotypes A to E.<sup>4,15</sup> Seropathotype A consists of O157:H7 and O157:NM (nonmotile) which are considered to be the most important serotypes in human HUS outbreaks. Seropathotype B comprises O26:H11, O103:H2, O111:NM, O121:H19 and O145:NM which are also associated with HUS, however, are more associated with smaller outbreaks. Seropathotype C is composed of serotypes O91:H21 and O113:H21 which are related to sporadic cases of HUS. Seropathotype D is associated with individual cases of diarrhea and seropathotype E has not been involved in human infections.<sup>4</sup>

Although the O157:H7 is the most frequently involved serotype in large outbreaks, other serotypes can also be important in sporadic cases of HUS and life-threatening health conditions.<sup>4,15</sup> For decades in Iran, a large number of studies on STEC was aimed at O157:H7 detection.<sup>15,16</sup> Recent studies are increasingly reporting the importance of non-O157 STEC strains in both animal and human hosts from different regions, however, documented data about these serotypes are still limited.<sup>17-19</sup>

Lack of the availability of O:H serotyping data in most developing countries has been a public health challenge to track outbreaks and monitor the possible sources in different geographical regions. To this end, here we reported for the first time the distribution of major STEC serotypes (O:H types) in a collection of STEC strains isolated from different provinces and a variety of sources in Iran.

### **Materials and Methods**

STEC isolates. During eight years of fecal sampling (2008 to 2016), a total number of 75 non-duplicate STEC strains isolated in previous studies were selected. Samples were obtained from different provinces and a variety of animal hosts in Iran: 35 isolates were originated from cattle, 22 from sheep and goats, 14 from pigeons and four were obtained from other sources (two human isolates and two isolates from red deer) which were archived as cryopreserved stocks at - 70.00 °C. The study was carried out in accordance with Iran National Committee for Ethics in Biomedical Research. Accordingly, a written or verbal informed consent was obtained from all participants for human experimentations and verbal informed consent was obtained from the owners of the companion animals. The research committee reviewed and approved that all the study protocols were conducted in accordance with the related guidelines and regulations (IR.1396.1236). For recovery, each isolate was aseptically transferred to brain heart infusion broth (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated for 24 hr at 37.00 °C. Then, the cultures were streaked on MacConkey agar and subjected to DNA extraction after 24 hr incubation at 37.00 °C.

**DNA extraction.** All 75 confirmed STEC isolates on MacConkey agar were cultured on Luria Bertani (LB) agar and incubated for 24 hr at 37.00 °C. After overnight culture on LB agar, total genomic DNA was extracted by boiling method as described previously.<sup>20</sup>

Multiplex Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for *stx1, stx2, stx2f, Ehly, eae*. The virulence genes of STEC isolates were evaluated by multiplex PCR as described previously.<sup>21,22</sup> The PCR was carried out in 25.00 µL using 3.00 µL template DNA, 1.00-unit *Taq* DNA polymerase (Ampliqon A/S, Odense, Denmark), 0.30 µM of each primer, 2.00 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Ampliqon A/S) and 200 µM dNTP mix in 1x buffer (Ampliqon A/S) (Table 1). *E. coli* O157:H7 strain (ATCC 35218) was used as positive control. For detection of *stx* in pigeon isolates, a pair of primers were used that amplifed the *stx2f* according to Schmidt's *et al.* study (Table 1). <sup>22</sup>

**Molecular determination of serogroups (O-serogroups).** The 16 important O-groups of STEC isolates were studied by different PCR assays via targeting serogroup-associated antigen genes (Table 1) as described previously.<sup>23-28</sup> The first PCR panel included the top eight STEC serogroups (O26, O45, O103, O111, O113, O121, O145, and O157) as described by DebRoy *et al.*<sup>23</sup> Other panels included Panel 2: O91, O118, O55 and Panel 3: O5, O104, O128. The remaining serogroups were tested using uniplex assays including O63 and O75. For final confirmation, PCRs were repeated separately for the positive serogroups. *E. coli* O157:H7 (295 EC-TMU) and Ferdowsi University of Mashhad collection strains were used as control for O26 (Strain code: 162s2 EC) and O111 (Strain code: 11s EC-2008).

**Amplification and sequencing of** *fliC***gene (H-typing).** A PCR assay was applied to amplify the variable portion of the *fliC* gene based on the method presented by Machado *et al.*<sup>29</sup> Then, 20 strains with a known O-types (except two important strains with unknown O-types) were selected from different animals for identification of H-genotypes by sequencing of the *fliC* gene in both reverse and forward directions with the same primer used for the *fliC* gene amplification (Sinaclon, Tehran, Iran), (Table 1). The obtained sequences were blasted in NCBI databases (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Only the strains in which both forward and reverse directions designated a particular H-types were kept for data analyses.

**Phylogenetic group analysis.** All confirmed O:H serotyped strains were subjected to determination of phylogenetic groups based on the updated Clermont's PCR based method.<sup>30</sup> Amplification was performed at a final volume of 25.00  $\mu$ L containing 50.00 ng template DNA, 1-unit *Taq* DNA polymerase (Ampliqon A/S), 1.00  $\mu$ M of each primer, 1.50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Ampliqon A/S) and 200.00  $\mu$ M dNTP mix in 1x PCR buffer (Ampliqon A/S). Strains were assigned into one of the eight phylogenetic groups (A, B1, B2, C, D, E, F and Clade I) based on the

possession or absence of four genetic markers including *arpA*, *yjaA*, *chuA*, and *tspE* (Table 1). The complementary

PCR tests applied on the isolates were not typed in the first step as recommended.  $^{\rm 30}$ 

Table 1. Primers used for identification of virulence genes	, serogroups, H-types, and phylogenetic groups in this study.
---	---

Nama	Sequence (5' to 3')	Target (bp) -	Condition		D . f
Name			Annealing temperature (°C)	Time (sec)	References
stx1-F	ATAAATCGCCATTCGTTGACTAC	(100)		20 (0*	01
stx1-R	AGAACGCCCACTGAGATCATC	<i>stx1</i> (180)	58.00 - 65.00*	30 - 60**	21
stx2-F	GGCACTGTCTCTCTGAAACTGCTCC	atu 2 (255)		20 60*	
stx2-R	TCGCCAGTTATCTGACATTCTG	SIX2 (255)	<i>STX2</i> (255) 58.00 - 65.00*		-
stx2f-1	AGATTGGGCGTCATTCACTGGTTG	ctv2f(A2R)	56.00	60	22
stx2f-2	TACTTTAATGGCCGCCCTGTCTCC	<i>SIX2J</i> (420)	30.00	00	22
Ehly-F	GCATCATCAAGCGTACGTTCC	Fhb (524)	58.00 - 65.00*	20 - 60*	21
Ehly-R	AATGAGCCAAGCTGGTTA AGCT	Enty (554)	58.00 - 05.00	30-00	21
eae-F	GACCCGGCACAAGCATAAGC	aaa(384)	58.00 - 65.00*	20 - 60*	
eae-R	CCACCTGCAGCAACAAGAGG	eue (50+)	30.00 - 03.00	30-00	-
026-F	CAATGGGCGGAAATTTTAGA	026 (155)	56.00	60	23
026-R	ATAATTTTCTCTGCCGTCGC	020 (155)	50.00	00	
045-F	TGCAGTAACCTGCACGGGCG	045 (238)	56.00	60	-
045-R	AGCAGGCACAACAGCCACTACT	015(250)	50.00	00	
0103-F	TTGGAGCGTTAACTGGACCT	0103 (321)	56.00	60	-
0103-R	GCTCCCGAGCACGTATAAAG		50.00	00	
0111-F	TGTTTCTTCGATGTTGCGAG	0111 (438)	56.00	60	-
0111-R	GCAAGGGACATAAGAAGCCA		00000	00	
0113-F	TGCCATAATTCAGAGGGTGAC	0113 (514)	56.00	60	-
0113-R	AACAAAGCTAATTGTGGGCCG				
0121-F	TCCAACAATTGGTCGTGAAA	0121 (628)	56.00	60	-
0121-R					
0145-F		0145 (750)	56.00	60	-
0145-R					
015/-F		0157 (894)	56.00	60	-
015/-K					
0118-F		0118 (517)	54.00	60	24
0118-K					
		055 (207)	54.00	60	25
055-K					
003-r 062 p		063 (995)	54.00	60	23
003-K					
091-P	ΔΓΔΓΓΔΤΟΓΓΔΔΔΤΔΓΓΤΩΟ	091 (616)	54.00	60	24
0128-F	ΔΤΓΑΤΤΤΟΤΤΔΓΓΓΑΘΤΙΟ				
0128-R	CTCTAACCTAATCCCTCCC	0128 (782)	50.00	50	26
0104-F	TGAACTGATTTTTAGGATGG				~-
0104-R	AGAACCTCACTCAAATTATG	0104 (351)	50.00	50	27
05-F	CTTATCCGATTAATGGCTTC				
05-R	TAGTCGATTTGCTTTTATGG	05 (144)	50.00	50	28
075-F	GAGATATACATGGGGAGGTAGGCT	075 (514)	54.00	60	20
075-R	ACCCGATAATCATATTCTTCCCAAC	075 (511)	54.00	60	29
fliC-F	CAAGTCATTAATAC(A/C)AACAGCC	fliC	5000		20
fliC-R	GACAT(A/G)TT(A/G)GA(G/A/C)ACTTC(G/C)GT	(Variable)	56.00	70	30
chuA.1b	ATGGTACCGGACGAACCAAC	ah. (200)	50.00	20	
chuA.2	TGCCGCCAGTACCAAAGACA	CHUA (200)	59.00	20	-
yjaA.1b	CAAACGTGAAGTGTCAGGAG	viaA(211)	59.00	20	_
yjaA.2b	AATGCGTTCCTCAACCTGTG	yjun (211)	59.00	20	-
TspE4C2.1b	CACTATTCGTAAGGTCATCC	TspE4.C2	59.00	20	-
TspE4C2.2b	AGTTTATCGCTGCGGGTCGC	(152)	52.00	20	-
AceK.f	AACGCTATTCGCCAGCTTGC	arnA(400)	59.00	20	-
ArpA1.r	TCTCCCCATACCGTACGCTA	arp11 (±00)	57.00	20	-

\*According to a touchdown PCR protocol as described previously.<sup>21</sup>

### Results

**Multiplex PCR (***stx1, stx2, stx2f, Ehly, eae***).** In 35 cattle isolates, 6 (17.10%) carried only stx1, 25 (71.40%) only *stx2* and 4 (11.40%) both *stx1* and *stx2* genes. All 35 cattle isolates (100%) harbored *Ehly* gene and 11 isolates (31.40%) were also positive for *eae* gene. In 22 sheep and goats' isolates, 11 (50.00%) carried *stx1*, 3 (13.60%) harbored *stx2* and 8 (36.30%) both *stx1* and *stx2* genes. Sixteen isolates (72.70%) harbored *Ehly* gene and all isolates (100%) were *eae*-negative. All 14 pigeons' isolates (100%) were also positive for *eae* gene. All isolates (85.70%) were also positive for *eae* gene. All isolates (100%) were negative for *stx1* and *Ehly* genes. All human isolates (2/2, 100%) were positive for *stx1* and *Ehly* genes and all of them (100%) were negative for *stx2* and

*eae* genes. All red deer isolates (2/2, 100%) carried *stx2* and *Ehly* and all of them (100%) were negative for *stx1* and *eae* genes. Figure 1 shows the distribution of STEC major virulence genes in cattle, sheep/goats and pigeons isolates.

**O-serogroups.** The predominant serogroup was 0113 as it was detected in nine isolates from different sources including cattle (five isolates, 55.50%), goats (two isolates, 22.20%) and red deer (two isolates, 22.20%). 026 (3/3, 100%) and 0111 (3/3, 100%) were found only in cattle isolates. 05 (3/3, 100%) was only detected in sheep, 063 (1/1, 100%) and 075 (2/2, 100%) were only found in pigeons and 0128 was detected in goats (2/3, 66.60%) as well as pigeon (1/3, 33.30%). All strains were negative for 0157, 045, 0121, 0145, 055, 091, 0103, 0104 and 0118 serogroups (Table 2).



Fig. 1. Distribution of virulence genes among 71 STEC isolates and serotypes of 20 STEC isolates with respect to hosts: Cattle (A), sheep/goats (B) and pigeons (C) in Iran.

Serogroup	Cattle (n = 35)	Sheep and Goats (n = 22)	Pigeons (n = 14)	Others (n = 4)	Total (n = 75)
026	3	-	-	-	3 (4.00%)
0111	3	-	-	-	3 (4.00%)
0113	5	2 <sup>G</sup>	-	2 <sup>R</sup>	9 (12.00%)
05	-	3s	-	-	3 (4.00%)
063	-	-	1	-	1 (1.30%)
075	-	-	2	-	2 (2.60%)
0128	-	<b>2</b> G	1	-	3 (4 00%)

Table 2. Distribution of the top eight and the other important STEC serogroups in 75 E. coli isolates in Iran.

G: Goats, R: Red deer, and S: Sheep.

**Molecular H-types.** Of 20 strains selected for identification of H-genotypes, H2 was the predominant H-types obtained from goats (two isolates) and pigeons (five isolates), followed by other H-types including H21 from cattle (two isolates) and goat (one isolate), H19 from sheep (three isolates), H8 from calves (two isolates), H11 from calve (one isolate), H29 from calve (one isolate), H10 from cattle (one isolate) and H4 from red deer (one isolate). The H-type for one isolate from pigeon was not typeable (Table 3).

**Molecular serotypes (0:H types).** Among twenty serotyped strains in this study (Fig. 1), the main recognized serotypes were O113:H21 (3/20, 15.00%) in cattle (2/3, 66.60%) and goat (1/3, 33.30%), O113:H4 (1/20, 5.00%) in red deer (1/1, 100%), O111:H8 (2/20, 10.00%) in calves (2/2, 100%), O26:H11 (1/20, 5.00%) in calve (1/1, 100%), O128:H2 (3/20, 15.00%) in goats (2/3, 66.60%) and pigeon (1/3, 33.30%), and O5:H19 (3/20, 15.00%) in sheep (3/3, 100%). One strain (1/20, 5.00%) from cattle carrying *stx*1, *stx*2, *Ehly* and *eae* was belonged to O26:H29 serotype (Table 3).

**Phylogenetic groups of serotyped strains.** Phylogenetic analysis of serotyped strains revealed B1 as the predominant phylotype (17/20, 85.00%) followed by other types including A (2/20, 10.00%) and B2 (1/20, 5.00%). Five STEC isolates from pigeons had a similar phylogroup (B1) and harbored *stx2f/eae* genes. Two isolates from calves yielded the same virulence profile (*stx1/Ehly/eae*) and phylotype (B1), (Table 3).

## Discussion

The main virulence factor of STEC is *stx* with two major types *stx*1 and *stx*2. As shown in our results, out of 75 STEC isolates, 30 (40.00%) harbored only *stx*2-encoding genes which was the predominant *stx* genotype, especially in cattle isolates (25/35, 71.40%). Similar to our study, Gutema *et al.* and Hoyle *et al.* reported that among 17 and 1948 (over the 110 sampled herds) STEC was identified in

Table 3. Characteristics of twenty serotyped STEC strains in Iran

cattle fecal samples, 82.30% and 70.20% of isolates were positive for *stx*2 as the sole toxin type, respectively.<sup>31,32</sup> Importantly, in the study of Scheutz, it has been shown that the HUS-associated E. coli (HUSEC) carrying stx2 genotypes had a higher risk and were more pathogenic than those strains producing stx1 alone or both stx1 and stx2.33 Moreover, Karmali in Canada and Scotland et al. in the United Kingdom indicated that STEC producing only stx2 had a higher prevalence of infection among HUS patients.<sup>34,35</sup> As a result, it seems that STEC strains that possess only *stx*2 are associated with the highest rates of HUS, accordingly, stx typing may provide worthy information about the virulence of STEC strains.<sup>36</sup> Of particular interest in this study was the presence of plasmid-borne gene Ehly in all (35/35, 100%) of the bovine STEC isolate. In accordance with our study, Fremaux et al. found Ehly gene in 92.00% of 118 STEC strains isolated from environment and fecal samples of dairy cattle farms in France.<sup>37</sup> In addition, in the study of Mercado et al., 80.00% of 15 STEC isolates were positive for Ehly gene isolated from diarrhoeic calves in different farms of Argentina.<sup>38</sup> Besides, the studies of Welinder-Olsson et al. and Brunder et al. indicated that most isolates from patients with severe infections such as HUS carried the *Ehly* gene and this might be due to the role of *Ehly* in stimulating the growth of STEC in the gut by providing the iron source.<sup>12,13,39</sup> Therefore, if we consider only the major toxins of STEC, isolates from cattle may pose higher risk for development of severe infections in humans.

In research projects conducted in Iran and other countries, acute diarrhea has been associated with STEC O157 and non-O157 serogroups with animal origins.<sup>15,17-19,40-43</sup> In our study, the predominant serogroup was O113 as it was detected in nine isolates from different sources (five cattle, two goats and two red deer) and importantly O157 was not present in any sources. In agreement with the present study, the O113 serogroup was also common among STEC strains reported in dairy cattle in Brazil and our previous study conducted in cattle in Iran.<sup>44,17</sup>

No.	Serotype (number)	Source	Province (year)	Virulence Genotype	Phylotype		
1	026:H11	Calve	Tehran (2009)	stx2/Ehly	B1		
2	O26:H29	Calve	Tehran (2010)	stx1/stx2/Ehly/eae	B1		
3	0111:H8 (2)	Calve <sup>a</sup>	Tehran (2008)	stx1/Ehly/eae	B1		
4	0113:H21 (2)	Cattle	Golestan (2012)	stx2/Ehly	B1		
5	O*:H10b	Cattle	Golestan (2012)	stx2/Ehly	А		
6	0113:H4	Red deer	Tehran (2014)	stx1/stx2/Ehly	А		
7	05:H19 (3)	Sheep	Semnan (2012)	stx1/stx2/Ehly	B1		
8	0128:H2 (2)	Goat	Fars (2013)	stx1	B1		
9	0113:H21	Goat	Fars (2013)	stx2/Ehly	B1		
10	0128:H2	Pigeon	Mazandaran (2012)	stx2f/eae	B1		
11	075:H2 (3)	Pigeon	Tehran (2011)	stx2f/eae	B1		
12	O*:H2c	Pigeon	Tehran (2011)	stx2f/eae	B1		
13	063:H*	Pigeon	Mazandaran (2012)	stx2f/eae	B2		

a: Diarrheic calves, b: Hybrid pathogenic strain (Enteroaggregative Hemorrhagic *E. coli*: EAHEC), c: T5b-Ir isolate (Accession number: KJ397538), and \*: Non-typeable.

The role of the O113 serogroup in human HUS has been well demonstrated in some previous studies reported from Australia, Argentina and Germany.<sup>45,46</sup> Similar to our results, Koochakzadeh et al., also did not detect any 0157 isolates among STEC strains obtained from fecal samples of 180 clinically healthy cattle in Iran.<sup>18</sup> Also, in the study of Aslani and Bouzari , of 29 STEC strains isolated from diarrheal and asymptomatic persons during three years in Iran, none of the isolates were belonged to 0157.19 In addition to 0157 serogroup importance, the similarity between our results and mentioned studies revealed that non-0157 serogroups were particularly important as a cause of HUS and might be involved in both small outbreaks and sporadic cases in Iran.<sup>4,16</sup> Another important point in the current study was the host specificity of 026 and 0111 serogroups which were among the top eight STEC O-groups. Accordingly, 026 and 0111 were identified only in cattle isolates. Other studies similarly reported this in cattle isolates in the UK, France and our previous study in Iran.<sup>17,32,37</sup> O26 and O111 account for a significant proportion of causing diarrhea in children based on studies conducted in Iran.<sup>17</sup> Remarkably, Fukushima et al., demonstrated that 026 and 0111 could survive for a long time (1 to 8 weeks at 15.00 °C) in bovine feces indicating cattle were potential reservoirs for transmitting these serogroups to foodstuff and environment.<sup>47</sup>

Based on the results of the present study, the main recognized serotypes were 0113:H21 (cattle and goats), 0113:H4 (red deer), 0111:H8 (calves), 026:H11 (calve), 0128:H2 (goats and pigeons), and 05:H19 (sheep). Despite the fact that there were no 0157:H7 found in the studied isolates, the reported serotypes are known to be associated with human gastrointestinal illness and sporadic HUS cases as we are going to briefly discuss.<sup>15,16</sup> 0113:H21 is considered as one of the non-0157 HUScausing STEC serotypes in the studies of Newton et al. and Mellmann et al.41,48 Moreover, 0113:H21 was initially reported in clinical cases of HUS patients in Australia.<sup>15</sup> 0113:H4 is an emerging serotype of human clinical significance linking to human gastrointestinal illness as demonstrated by Monaghan et al. in Ireland.<sup>42</sup> As shown in the study of Karch et al., 0111:H8 and 026:H11 are also among serotypes isolated from patients with HUS in Germany.<sup>49</sup> 0128:H2 was recognized worldwidly to be a non-0157 STEC isolated from HUS patients reported by Domingue et al. in the UK.43 In order to control the spread of these dangerous serotypes, it is indispensable to exert practical strategies through a national surveillance network to trace, identify and report the top important serotypes circulating in the animals, environment and diarrheic cases in Iran.

Regarding other recognized serotypes not associated with severe infections, similar to our study, O5:H19 has been isolated from sheep in China as reported by Liu *et al.*<sup>50</sup> Although O5:H19 were not related to human HUS, O5

serogroups were associated with human gastrointestinal symptoms.<sup>16</sup> It is worthwhile to note that all ovine serotyped isolates in the current study were belonged to 05:H19 serotype suggesting the host specificity of this serotype, whereas, pigeon isolates were belonged to four serotypes expressing a high degree of serotype diversity. Due to the limited number of other serotypes, it is difficult to conclude host specificity or diversity for other serotypes. Among our calve isolates, strain 026:H29 carried *stx*1, *stx*2, *Ehly* and *eae*. We believed that this was the first report of 026:H29 serotype which carried two main types of stx along with Ehly, and eae virulence genes. To our knowledge, this O26:H29 serotype has not yet been reported elsewhere andO26 serogroups have emerged as highly virulent clones causing human diseases and spread throughout Europe after its emergence in Germany in the mid-1990s.<sup>15,16</sup> Resultantly, we suggested 026:H29 to be considered a potentially highly pathogenic clone in Iran.

In conclusion, this study provided the first serotyping (O:H typing) data documented in STEC strains of animal origin in Iran. Although most studies have been focused on 0157:H7, the results of this study demonstrated that 0157:H7 might not be a predominant serotype in Iran. Additionally, most strains with determined O-groups were from the bovine source that highlighted the importance of cattle as reservoirs of potentially pathogenic sero-pathotypes. Besides, 026, 0111, and 0113 should be included in all future serotyping studies of STEC in humans and animals in Iran. Finally, the recognized 026:H29 strain in this study carried the essential facility for development of severe infections in humans that needs further investigations as a possible emerging strain.

### Acknowledgments

None to declare.

## **Conflict of interest**

None to declare.

## References

- Capps KM, Ludwig JB, Shridhar PB, et al. Identification, Shiga toxin subtypes and prevalence of minor serogroups of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in feedlot cattle feces. Sci Rep 2021; 11(1): 8601. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-87544-w.
- 2. AL-Mazini MA. Molecular study for *Escherichia coli* isolates causing diarrhea at children. J Med Res Health Sci 2021; 4(7): 1329-1341.
- 3. Dixon BP, Gruppo RA. Atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Pediatr Clin North Am 2018; 65(3): 509-525.
- 4. Gyles CL. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: an overview. J Anim Sci 2007; 85(13 Suppl): E45-E62.

- 5. Hooman N, Khodadost M, Sadeghian M, et al. The prevalence and incidence of hemolytic uremic syndrome in Iran, a systematic review and metaanalysis. Iran J Kidney Dis 2020; 14(3): 173-183.
- 6. Kaper JB, Nataro JP, Mobley HL. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Nat Rev Microbiol 2004; 2(2): 123-140.
- 7. Ahmadi E, Mardani K, Amiri A. Molecular detection and antimicrobial resistance patterns of Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from bovine subclinical mastitis milk samples in Kurdistan, Iran. Arch Razi Inst 2020; 75(2): 169-177.
- 8. Ludwig JB, Shi X, Shridhar PB, et al. Multiplex PCR assays for the detection of one hundred and thirty seven serogroups of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* associated with cattle. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2020; 10: 378. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00378.
- 9. Shinde DB, Singhvi S, Koratkar SS, et al. Isolation and characterization of *Escherichia coli* serotype 0157: H7 and other verotoxin-producing E. coli in healthy Indian cattle. Vet World 2020; 13(10): 2269-2274.
- 10. Beutin L, Miko A, Krause G, et al. Identification of human-pathogenic strains of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from food by a combination of serotyping and molecular typing of Shiga toxin genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73(15): 4769-4775.
- 11. Haddad N, Johnson N, Kathariou S, et al. Next generation microbiological risk assessment-Potential of omics data for hazard characterisation. Int J Food Microbiol 2018; 287: 28-39.
- 12. Welinder-Olsson C, Badenfors M, Cheasty T, et al. Genetic profiling of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* strains in relation to clonality and clinical signs of infection. J Clin Microbiol 2002; 40(3): 959-964.
- Brunder W, Schmidt H, Frosch M, et al. The large plasmids of Shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) are highly variable genetic elements. Microbiology (Reading). 1999; 145(Pt 5): 1005-1014.
- 14. Paton JC, Paton AW. Pathogenesis and diagnosis of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections. Clin Microbiol Rev 1998; 11(3): 450-479.
- 15. Bettelheim KA, Goldwater PN. Serotypes of non-0157 Shigatoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (STEC). Adv Microbiol 2014; 4(7): 377-389.
- 16. Bettelheim KA. The non-O157 Shiga-toxigenic (verocytotoxigenic) *Escherichia coli*; under-rated pathogens. Crit Rev Microbiol 2007; 33(1): 67-87.
- 17. Jajarmi M, Imani Fooladi AA, Badouei MA, et al. Virulence genes, Shiga toxin subtypes, major O-serogroups, and phylogenetic background of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from cattle in Iran. Microb Pathog 2017; 109: 274-279.
- Koochakzadeh A, Askari Badouei M, Mazandarani E, et al. Survey on O157: H7 enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) in cattle in Golestan province, Iran. Iran J Microbiol 2014; 6(4): 276-280.

- 19. Aslani MM, Bouzari S. Characterization of virulence genes of non-0157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from two provinces of Iran. Jpn J Infect Dis 2009; 62(1): 16-19.
- 20. Askari Badouei M, Jajarmi M, Mirsalehian A. Virulence profiling and genetic relatedness of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* isolated from humans and ruminants. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2015; 38: 15-20.
- 21. Paton AW, Paton JC. Direct detection and characterization of Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* by multiplex PCR for *stx1*, *stx2*, *eae*, *ehxA*, and *saa*. J Clin Microbiol 2002; 40(1): 271-244.
- 22. Schmidt H, Scheef J, Morabito S, et al. A new Shiga toxin 2 variant (Stx2f) from *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigeons. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000; 66(3): 1205-1208.
- 23. DebRoy C, Roberts E, Fratamico PM. Detection of O antigens in *Escherichia coli*. Anim Health Res Rev 2011; 12(2): 169-185.
- 24. Li Y, Liu D, Cao B, et al. Development of a serotypespecific DNA microarray for identification of some Shigella and pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44(12): 4376-4383.
- 25. Iguchi A, Iyoda S, Seto K, et al. *Escherichia coli* Ogenotyping PCR: a comprehensive and practical platform for molecular O serogrouping. J Clin Microbiol 2015; 53(8): 2427-2432.
- 26. Bielaszewska M, Mellmann A, Zhang W, et al. Characterisation of the *Escherichia coli* strain associated with an outbreak of haemolytic uraemic syndrome in Germany, 2011: a microbiological study. Lancet Infect Dis 2011; 11(9): 671-676.
- 27. Sánchez S, Llorente MT, Echeita MA, et al. Development of three multiplex PCR assays targeting the 21 most clinically relevant serogroups associated with Shiga toxin-producing E. coli infection in humans. PLoS One 2015; 10(1): e0117660. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0117660.
- 28. Li D, Liu B, Chen M, et al. A multiplex PCR method to detect 14 *Escherichia coli* serogroups associated with urinary tract infections. J Microbiol Methods 2010; 82(1): 71-77.
- 29. Machado J, Grimont F, Grimont PA. Identification of *Escherichia coli* flagellar types by restriction of the amplified fliC gene. Res Microbiol 2000; 151(7): 535-546.
- 30. Clermont O, Christenson JK, Denamur E, et al. The Clermont *Escherichia coli* phylo-typing method revisited: improvement of specificity and detection of new phylo-groups. Environ Microbiol Rep 2013; 5(1): 58-65.
- 31. Gutema FD, Rasschaert G, Agga GE, et al. Occurrence, molecular characteristics, and antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia Coli* 0157 in cattle, beef, and humans in

Bishoftu Town, central Ethiopia. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2021; 18(1): 1-7

- 32. Hoyle DV, Keith M, Williamson H, et al. Prevalence and epidemiology of non-O157 *Escherichia coli* serogroups O26, O103, O111, and O145 and Shiga toxin gene carriage in Scottish cattle, 2014-2015. Appl Environ Microbiol 2021; 87(10): e03142-20. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03142-20.
- Scheutz F. Taxonomy meets public health: The case of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. Microbiol Spectr 2014; 2(3): doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.EHEC-0019-2013.
- 34. Karmali MA. Infection by verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. Clin Microbiol Rev 1989; 2(1): 15-38.
- 35. Scotland SM, Willshaw GA, Smith HR, et al. Properties of strains of *Escherichia coli* belonging to serogroup 0157 with special reference to production of Vero cytotoxins VTl and VT2. Epidemiol Infect 1987; 99(3): 613-624.
- 36. Loconsole D, Giordano M, Centrone F, et al. Epidemiology of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections in southern Italy after implementation of symptom-based surveillance of bloody diarrhea in the pediatric population. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020; 17(14): 5137. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17145137
- 37. Fremaux B, Raynaud S, Beutin L, et al. Dissemination and persistence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains on French dairy farms. Vet Microbiol 2006; 117(2-4): 180-191.
- 38. Mercado EC, Gioffré A, Rodríguez SM, et al. Non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrhoeic calves in Argentina. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health 2004; 51(2): 82-88.
- 39. Hooman N, Khodadost M, Ahmadi A, et al. The prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in patients with gastroenteritis in Iran, systematic review and meta-analysis. Iran J Kidney Dis 2019; 13(3): 139-150.
- 40. Askari Badouei M, Morabito S, Najafifar A, et al. Molecular characterization of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* hemolysin gene (EHEC-hlyA)harboring isolates from cattle reveals a diverse origin and hybrid diarrheagenic strains. Infect Genet Evol

2016; 39: 342-348.

- 41. Mellmann A, Bielaszewska M, Köck R, et al. Analysis of collection of hemolytic uremic syndrome–associated enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. Emerg Infect Dis 2008; 14(8): 1287-1290.
- 42. Monaghan AM, Byrne B, McDowell D, et al. Characterization of farm, food, and clinical Shiga toxin– producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) 0113. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2012; 9(12): 1088-1096.
- 43. Domingue G, Willshaw GA, Smith HR, et al. DNA-based subtyping of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) 0128ab:H2 strains from human and raw meat sources. Lett Appl Microbiol 2003; 37(6): 433-437.
- 44. Irino K, Kato MA, Vaz TM, et al. Serotypes and virulence markers of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) isolated from dairy cattle in São Paulo State, Brazil. Vet Microbiol 2005; 105(1): 29-36.
- 45. Paton AW, Woodrow MC, Doyle RM, et al. Molecular characterization of a Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* 0113:H21 strain lacking eae responsible for a cluster of cases of hemolytic-uremic syndrome. J Clin Microbiol 1999; 37(10): 3357-3361.
- 46. Feng PC, Delannoy S, Lacher DW, et al. Genetic diversity and virulence potential of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* 0113:H21 strains isolated from clinical, environmental, and food sources. Appl Environ Microbiol 2014; 80(15): 4757-4763.
- 47. Fukushima H, Hoshina K, Gomyoda M. Long-term survival of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* 026, 0111, and 0157 in bovine feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999; 65(11): 5177-5181.
- 48. Newton HJ, Sloan J, Bulach DM, et al. Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* strains negative for locus of enterocyte effacement. Emerg Infect Dis 2009; 15(3): 372-380.
- 49. Karch H, Tarr PI, Bielaszewska M. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia *coli* in human medicine. Int J Med Microbiol 2005; 295(6-7): 405-418.
- 50. Liu Y, Li H, Chen X, et al. Characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from cattle and sheep in Xinjiang province, China, using whole-genome sequencing. Transbound Emerg Dis 2022; 69(2): 413-422.